# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,303	04/02/2004	Joseph E. Owensby	031456/271433 1854	
826 ALSTON & D	7590 06/29/2007		EXAM	INER
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			LEFF, STEVEN N	
	RYON STREET, SUITE 4 , NC 28280-4000	000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	. 1761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/817,303	OWENSBY, JOSEPH E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Leff	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address				
•	ALC CET TO EXPIDE 2 MONTH	S) OD THIRTY (20) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>May</u>	15 <sup>th</sup> , 2007.					
,	, <del></del>					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	هـ.				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second secon	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)	A) Theories Commence	(PTO 413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/2/04, 8/12/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-14 in the reply filed on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 15-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2007.

## Claim Objections

• Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim lacks a period.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - The phrase "substantially transparent" in claim 1 is rejected as it is unclear as to how something may be "substantially transparent", and to what extent this includes if not completely transparent.
  - The phrase "trough-like" in claim 3 is rejected, as it is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "trough-like" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to what is encompassed by the phrase "trough-like"; it is unclear as to what degree of difference is encompassed by this phrase, if not a "trough".
  - The phrase "generally rectangular" in claim 5 is rejected as it is unclear as to how something may be "generally rectangular", and to what extent this includes if not "rectangular".

Application/Control Number: 10/817,303

Art Unit: 1761

• The phrase "said seal" in claims 6 and 7 lacks antecedent basis.

- Claim 14 recites the limitation "the atmosphere" of claim 1. There is insufficient
  antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 1 describes an "a container for
  packaging perishable food items..." The subsequent claim refers to "the atmosphere" of
  claim 1 however claim 1 does not define an atmosphere. Claim 1 defines a container.
- The phrase "manipulated to optimize storage conditions" in claim 14 is rejected, as it is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The phrase "manipulated to optimize storage conditions" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to what is encompassed by the phrase "manipulated to optimize storage conditions"; it is unclear as to what degree of difference is encompassed by this phrase, if not a "manipulated to optimize storage conditions".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mixon (3671272).

With respect to claims 1-6, Mixon teaches a container for packaging perishable food items which comprises a tubular body portion having two ends (fig. 3), where the tubular body portion has a cross-section suitable for holding a perishable food item (fig. 1), wherein at least a portion of the tubular body is substantially transparent (col. 3 line 10), one end of the body portion is closed and the other end of the body portion has an open mouth (fig. 3), where the open mouth is adapted to be sealed (col. 3 line 19+). Mixon further teach that the cross-section of the container tubular body portion is shaped to have a flat bottom, where flat bottom has an undulating profile at each side forming a trough-like area (col. 6 line 13+).

Alternatively, Mixon teaches that the cross-section of the container body portion is cylindrical (col. 3 line 27+, fig. 9), or generally rectangular (fig. 5) and that the open end is sealable by a heat sealable film (col. 3 line 20+).

Application/Control Number: 10/817,303 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

• Claims 1-3, 5, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daenen et al. (Des. 279253)

With respect to claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, Daenen et al. teach a container for packaging perishable food items which comprises a tubular body portion having two ends (figs. 1-14), where the tubular body portion has a cross-section suitable for holding a perishable food item, wherein at least a portion of the tubular body is substantially transparent (pg. 1, col. 2, line 31+), one end of the body portion is closed and the other end of the body portion has an open mouth (figs. 1-14), where the open mouth is adapted to be sealed (figs. 1-14). Daenen et al. further teach that the cross-section of the container tubular body portion is shaped to have a flat bottom, where flat bottom has an undulating profile at each side forming a trough-like area (figs 1-14).

Alternatively, Daenen et al. further teach that the cross-section of the container body portion is generally rectangular (figs. 1-14), that a lip projects radially from the periphery of the mouth opening (figs. 1-14), that the container includes indicia (pg. 1 col. 2 line 29) and that at least a portion of the tubular body is opaque (pg. 1, col. 2, line 30+).

 Claims 1, 4, 7-9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callery (2904205).

With respect to claims 1, 4, 7-9, and 12, Callery teaches a container for packaging perishable food items which comprises a tubular body portion having two ends (fig. 4), where the tubular body portion has a cross-section suitable for holding a perishable food item (fig. 4), wherein at least a portion of the tubular body is substantially transparent (col. 1 line 42), one end of the body portion is closed and the other end of the body portion has an open mouth (fig. 4), where the open mouth is adapted to be sealed (fig. 2).

Callery further teaches that the cross-section of the body portion is cylindrical (figs 1-4), and that the container is sealed with an end cap (col. 2 line 66+), where the end cap is attached to the body of the container by friction-fit or a tack weld using screw threads (col. 2 line 66+), and that a lip projects radially from the periphery of the mouth opening (figs. 1-4).

• Claims 1-3, 5-8, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garwood (5514392).

Art Unit: 1761

With respect to claims 1-3, 5-8, and 11-14, Garwood teaches a container for packaging perishable food items which comprises a tubular body portion having two ends (fig. 1-12), where the tubular body portion has a cross-section suitable for holding a perishable food item (fig. 1-12), wherein at least a portion of the tubular body is substantially transparent (col. 4 line 13), one end of the body portion is closed and the other end of the body portion has an open mouth (figs. 1-4), where the open mouth is adapted to be sealed (col. 4 line 33+). Garwood further teaches that the cross-section of the container tubular body portion is shaped to have a flat bottom, where flat bottom has an undulating profile at each side forming a trough-like area (col. 3 line 55+).

Garwood continues by teaching that the cross-section of the body portion is generally rectangular (figs 1-4), and that the container is sealed either by a heat sealable film (col. 8 line 10+) or by an end cap (col. 7 line 35+), where the end cap is attached to the body of the container by friction-fit or a tack weld (col. 7 line 35+), and that a lip projects radially from the periphery of the mouth opening (figs. 1-2). In addition, at least a portion of the tubular body is opaque (col. 4 line 21+), the interior of the tubular body portion is coated with an anti-fogging agent (col. 3 line 32+), and that the atmosphere within the container is manipulated to optimize storing conditions (col. 4 line 1+).

• Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10-11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jarvis (4112124).

With respect to claims 1-2, 4-6, 10-11, and 14, Jarvis teaches a container for packaging perishable food items which comprises a tubular body portion having two ends (figs. 5a-5d), where the tubular body portion has a cross-section suitable for holding a perishable food item (abstract), wherein at least a portion of the tubular body is substantially transparent (col. 2 line 64), one end of the body portion is closed and the other end of the body portion has an open mouth (figs. 5a-5d), where the open mouth is adapted to be sealed (col. 10 line 10).

Jarvis continues by teaching that the cross-section of the body portion is shaped to have a flat bottom (col. 10 line 21), is cylindrical (fig. 6), or generally rectangular (fig. 6), includes indicia (col. 11 line 64, fig. 6) and that the container is sealed by a heat sealable film (col. 10 line 22+). In addition Jarvis teaches that at least a portion of the tubular body is opaque (col. 11 line 65, fig. 6), and that the atmosphere within the container is manipulated to optimize storing conditions (col. 10 line 3+).

Art Unit: 1761

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Leff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6527. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571)272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SL

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER